BOLSOVER DISTRICT COUNCIL SCRUTINY PROJECT MANAGEMENT – REVIEW SCOPE				
NAME OF COMMITTEE:	Healthy, Safe, Clean & Green Communities			
SUBJECT TO BE REVIEWED:	Review of The Authority's Perception of Young People			
REASON(S) FOR THE REVIEW:	Issue raised by Bolsover Youth Council (Young Voice) and suggested as an area for review by scrutiny. Scrutiny could add further momentum/support to the existing work of Youth Council on stereotypes.			
IDENTIFY APPROPRIATE CORPORATE PLAN AIMS, PRIORITIES AND TARGETS:	CORPORATE PLAN AIM – Supporting Our Communities to be Healthier, Safer, Cleaner and Greener PRIORITY – N/A Links to the area of Social Inclusion as per the Committee Terms of Reference in the Constitution			
DIRECTORATE/SERVICES INVOLVED:	People – Performance & Communications (Engagement and Equalities) People – Partnerships & Transformation (Customer Services, Leisure) People – Corporate Governance (Elections) People – Streetscene (Street Cleansing, Waste/Recycling) People – Human Resources Place – Housing and Community Safety			
AIMS AND OBJECTIVES OF REVIEW:	 Aim: To establish the current approach across frontline services when interacting with young people and to ensure the Council portrays a positive image. Objectives: Clarify existing protocols when working with young people to ensure a positive approach is taken which values their involvement/interaction. Clarify current satisfaction with council services by young people – specifically where the service is solely for them i.e. leisure programmes. Ensure practices are in place which encourage young people to engage with the Council and that accessing services is a positive experience. 			

KEY ISSUES:	 How should the Council engage with Young People? What is the experience of Young People accessing our services? What is the problem of 'stereotyping' and what effect does it have? What can we do to influence how we operate/communicate to improve how Young People access services? Do we portray a positive image of Young People? 		
METHOD(S) OF REVIEW:	Internal enquiries to frontline service areas to establish existing protocols for dealing with service users under 18 and 18-24. Internal enquiry to CCC/HR to establish level of complaints received in relation to service access via young people. Questions to Youth Council at 20 th June meeting, plus follow-up questions where required. Information request/Survey to EM Network regarding reviews by neighbouring authorities.		
IMPLICATIONS:	Equalities Act 2010 – age is one of the protected characteristics		
(legislative, regulatory, etc.)	Safeguarding (CYP and Vulnerable Adults)		
DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE: (Internal/External)	 BDC Customer Standards BDC Work Experience Placements Policy, January 2011 BDC Child Protection Policy, 2016-19 BDC Safeguarding Adults Policy, 2016-19 (this would cover young vulnerable adults) Derbyshire Safeguarding Children Board – Neglect Strategy Derbyshire Safeguarding Children Board – On Line Strategy Derby City Review DCC approach 		

STAKEHOLDERS:	RELEVANT PORTFOLIO HOLDER MUST BE INVOLVED IN THE REVIEW		
	 Portfolio Holder – Cllr Dooley (Partnerships & Transformation) Joint Strategic Director – People Joint Strategic Director – Place Youth Council Members Improvement Officer (Equalities) Head of Housing & Community Safety Head of Streetscene 		
	 Managers of Front-line teams: Electoral Services Manager Environmental Health Manager/Environmental Enforcement Team Leader Streetscene Community Outreach Service (Bolsover ONLY) Community Safety Officer/ASB Leisure Operations Manager/Senior Sports Development Officer Housing Needs Manager Customer Services Manager HR & OD Manager 		
CONSULTATION/ RESEARCH:	Analysis of Citizen Panel Surveys/Customer Satisfaction results to gauge satisfaction in young people with front line services.		
SITE VISITS:	Possible attendance at Youth Council meeting (this could be off-site depending on meeting location).		

TIMESCALE	ESTIMATED	REVISED	ACTUAL
Commencement	September 2018		
Interim Report/ Recommendations			
Finish	March 2019		
Report	March 2019		

SCRUTINY REVIEW OUTCOMES				
CONCLUSIONS:				
RECOMMENDATIONS:				
DRAFT REPORT SENT TO DIRECTOR & ANY RELEVANT OFFICERS FOR COMMENT:				
DRAFT REPORT CONSIDERED BY PORTFOLIO HOLDER:				
SIGNED OFF BY COMMITTEE/CHAIR:				
REVIEW OF PROCESS/COMMENTS:				
EXECUTIVE CONSIDERED:				
OUTCOME:				
FOLLOW UP:				
DATE:				